arXiv:1608.05385v1 [math.AP] 18 Aug 2016

Regularization of radial solutions of p-Laplace equations, and computations using infinite series

Philip Korman
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Cincinnati
Cincinnati Ohio 45221-0025
kormanp@ucmail.uc.edu

Abstract

We consider radial solutions of equations with the p-Laplace operator in R^n. We introduce a change of variables, which in effect removes the singularity at $r = 0$. While solutions are not of class C^2, in general, we show that solutions are C^2 functions of $r^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}$. Then we express the solution as an infinite series in powers of $r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$, and give explicit formulas for its coefficients. We implement this algorithm, using *Mathematica*. *Mathematica*'s ability to perform the exact computations turns out to be crucial.

Key words: p-Laplace equations, numerical computations.

AMS subject classification: 35J60, 65M99.

1 Introduction

Recently there has been an enormous interest in equations with the p-Laplace operator in R^n (with $p > 1$, $u = u(x)$, $x \in R^n$)

$$\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) + f(u) = 0\,,$$

see e.g., a review by P. Drabek [1], and the important paper of B. Franchi et al [2]. Radial solutions of this equation, with the initial data at $r = 0$, satisfy

$$\varphi(u')' + \frac{n-1}{r}\,\varphi(u') + f(u) = 0, \;\; u(0) = \alpha > 0, \; u'(0) = 0\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\varphi(v) = v|v|^{p-2}$, $p > 1$. To guess the form of the solution, let us drop the higher order term and consider

$$\frac{n-1}{r}\,\varphi(u') + f(u) = 0, \;\; u(0) = \alpha\,. \tag{1.2}$$

This is a completely different equation, however, in case $p = 2$, it is easy to check that the *form* of solutions is the same: in both cases, it is a series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^{2n}$ (with different coefficients), see P. Korman [4] or [5]. It is natural to guess that the form of solutions will be same for (1.1) and (1.2), in case $p \neq 2$ too. With that in mind, let us solve (1.2) in case $f(u) = e^u$. Since $\alpha > 0$, we see from (1.2) that $u'(r) < 0$ for all r. Then $\varphi(u') = -(-u')^{p-1}$, and we have

$$u' = -\left[\frac{r}{n-1}e^u\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} = -\frac{r^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}{(n-1)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}e^{\frac{1}{p-1}u}\,.$$

Integrating, we get

$$u(r) = \alpha - (p-1)\ln\left(1 + \frac{e^{\frac{1}{p-1}\alpha}}{p(n-1)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}\,r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)\,.$$

We see that $u(r)$ is a function of $r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$, and, for r small, we can expand it as a series $u(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n r^{n\frac{p}{p-1}}$, with some coefficients b_n. Motivated by this example, we make a change of variables $r \to z$ in (1.1), by letting $z^2 = r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$. We expect solutions of (1.1) to be of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^{2n}$, which is a real analytic function of z, if this series converges.

The following lemma provides the crucial change of variables.

Lemma 1.1 *Denote*

$$\bar\alpha = \frac{p}{2(p-1)}, \;\; \beta = \frac{1}{\bar\alpha}(\bar\alpha - 1) = \frac{-p+2}{p}, \;\; \gamma = \beta(p-1) = 3 - p - \frac{2}{p}\,, \tag{1.3}$$

$$a = \bar\alpha^p, \;\; A = \bar\alpha^p\gamma + (n-1)\bar\alpha^{p-1}\,.$$

Then, for $p > 2$, the change of variables $z^2 = r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ transforms (1.1) into

$$au''(z) + \frac{A}{(p-1)z}u'(z) + \frac{z^{p-2}}{\varphi'(u'(z))}f(u) = 0, \;\; u(0) = \alpha, \; u'(0) = 0\,. \tag{1.4}$$

Conversely, if the solution of (1.4) is of the form $u = v(z^2)$, with $v(t) \in C^1(\bar R_+)$, then the same change of variables transforms (1.4) into (1.1), for any $p > 1$.

Proof: We have $z = r^\alpha$, $\dfrac{du}{dr} = \alpha \dfrac{du}{dz} r^{\alpha-1} = \alpha z^\beta \dfrac{du}{dz}$. By the homogeneity of φ, we have ($\varphi(cv) = c^{p-1}\varphi(v)$, for any $c > 0$)

$$\varphi(u'(r)) = \varphi\left(\alpha z^\beta u_z\right) = \alpha^{p-1} z^{\beta(p-1)} \varphi(u_z) = \alpha^{p-1} z^\gamma \varphi(u_z)\,.$$

Then (1.1) becomes

$$\alpha z^\beta \frac{d}{dz}\left[\alpha^{p-1} z^\gamma \varphi(u_z)\right] + (n-1) z^{-2+2/p} \alpha^{p-1} z^\gamma \varphi(u_z) + f(u) = 0\,,$$

which simplifies to

$$az\varphi'(u'(z))u''(z) + A\varphi(u'(z)) + z^{1/\alpha-\gamma} f(u) = 0\,.$$

This implies (1.4), keeping in mind that $\varphi'(v) = (p-1)|v|^{p-2}$, $\varphi(v) = \frac{1}{p-1} v\varphi'(v)$, and that $1/\alpha - \gamma - 1 = p-2$. Also, $\dfrac{du}{dz} = \dfrac{2(p-1)}{p} \dfrac{du}{dr}\, z^{\frac{p-2}{p}}$, so that $\frac{du}{dz}(0) = 0$. (It is only on the last step that we need $p > 2$.)

Conversely, our change of variables transforms the equation in (1.4) into the one in (1.1). Under our assumption, $u(r) = v(r^{\frac{p}{p-1}})$, so that $u'(0) = 0$ for any $p > 1$. $\diamondsuit$

The change of variables $z^2 = r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ in effect removes the singularity at zero for p-Laplace equations. Indeed,

$$\lim_{z\to 0} \frac{z^{p-2}}{\varphi'(u'(z))} = \frac{1}{(p-1)|u''(0)|^{p-2}}\,,$$

which lets us compute $u''(0)$ from the equation (1.4) (the existence of $u''(0)$ is proved later). Indeed, assuming that $f(\alpha) > 0$, we have

$$u''(0) = -\left[\frac{f(\alpha)}{a(p-1)+A}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\,. \tag{1.5}$$

(In case $f(\alpha) < 0$, we have $u''(0) = \left[\frac{-f(\alpha)}{a(p-1)+A}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$.) We prove that $u(z)$ is smooth, provided that $f(u)$ is smooth. It follows that the solution of p-Laplace problem (1.1) has the form $u(r^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}})$, with smooth $u(z)$. We believe that our reduction of the p-Laplace equation (1.2) to the form (1.4) is likely to find other applications.

We express the solution of (1.1) in the form $u(r) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k r^{k\frac{p}{p-1}}$, and present *explicit* formulas to compute the coefficients a_k. Interestingly, the

coefficient a_1 turned out to be special, as it enters in two ways the formula for other a_k. Our formulas are easy to implement in *Mathematica*, and very accurate series approximations can be computed reasonably quickly. We utilize *Mathematica*'s ability to perform the "exact computations", as we explain in Section 3.

2 Regularity of solutions in case $p > 2$

It is well known that solutions of p-Laplace equations are not of class C^2, in general. In fact, rewriting the equation in (1.1) in the form

$$(p-1)u'' + \frac{n-1}{r}u' + |u'|^{2-p}f(u) = 0\,, \tag{2.1}$$

we see that in case $p > 2$, $u''(0)$ does not exist. We show that in this case the solution of (1.1) is a C^2 function of $r^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}$.

We rewrite the equation in (1.1) as

$$r^{n-1}\varphi(u'(r)) = -\int_0^r t^{n-1}f(u(t))\,dt\,. \tag{2.2}$$

Observe that $\varphi^{-1}(t) = -(-t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$, for $t < 0$. If we assume that $f(\alpha) > 0$, then for small $r > 0$, we may express from (2.2)

$$-u'(r) = \frac{1}{r^{\frac{n-1}{p-1}}}\left[\int_0^r t^{n-1}f(u(t))\,dt\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\,. \tag{2.3}$$

Integrating

$$u(r) = \alpha - \int_0^r \frac{1}{t^{\frac{n-1}{p-1}}}\left[\int_0^t s^{n-1}f(u(s))\,ds\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\,dt\,. \tag{2.4}$$

We recall the following lemma from J.A. Iaia [3].

Lemma 2.1 *Assume that $f(u)$ is Lipschitz continuous. Then one can find an $\epsilon > 0$, so that the problem (1.1) has a unique solution $u(r) \in C^1[0,\epsilon)$. In case $1 < p \le 2$, $u(r) \in C^2[0,\epsilon)$.*

In the space $C[0,\epsilon)$ we denote $B_R^\epsilon = \{u \in C[0,\epsilon)$, such that $||u-\alpha|| \le R\}$, where $||\cdot||$ denotes the norm in $C[0,\epsilon)$. The proof of Lemma 2.1 involved showing that the map $T(u)$, defined by the right hand side of (2.4), is a

contraction, taking B_R^ϵ into itself, for any $R > 0$, and ϵ sufficiently small (see [3], and also [6] for a similar argument). This argument provided a continuous solution of (2.4), which by (2.3) is in $C^1[0,\epsilon)$, and in case $1 < p \le 2$, $u(r) \in C^2[0,\epsilon)$, by (2.1) (from (2.3) it follows that the limit $\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{u'(r)}{r} = u''(0) = 0$ exists). $\diamondsuit$

In case $p > 2$, we have the following regularity result.

Theorem 2.1 *Assume that $p > 2$, $f(u)$ is Lipschitz continuous and $f(\alpha) > 0$. For $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, the problem (1.1) has a solution of the form $u\left(r^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}\right)$, where $u(z) \in C^2[0,\epsilon)$, and $u'(z) < 0$ on $(0,\epsilon)$. This solution is unique among all continuous functions satisfying (2.4). If, moreover, $f(u) \in C^k$, then $u(z) \in C^{k+2}[0,\epsilon)$.*

Proof: By Lemma 2.1 we have a unique solution of the problem (1.1), $u(r) \in C^1[0,\epsilon_1)$, for some $\epsilon_1 > 0$ small. By Lemma 1.1, this translates to a solution of the problem (1.4), $u(z) \in C^1[0,\epsilon_1)$. With $m = \frac{A}{a(p-1)}$, we multiply the equation in (1.4) by z^m, and rewrite it as

$$-\frac{u'(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{a(p-1)} \frac{\int_0^z \frac{t^{m+p-2}}{|u'(t)|^{p-2}} f(u(t))\, dt}{z^{m+1}}\,.$$

Taking the limit as $z \to 0$, and denoting $L = \lim_{z\to 0} \frac{u'(z)}{z}$, we get

$$-L = \frac{f(\alpha)}{a(p-1)(m+1)|L|^{p-2}}\,.$$

It follows that this limit L exists, proving the existence of $u''(0)$, as given by (1.5). Observe that $u''(0) < 0$. It follows that $u'(z) < 0$ for small z, so that $\varphi'(u'(z)) < 0$, and then $u(z) \in C^2[0,\epsilon)$, from the equation (1.4).

Assume that $f(u) \in C^1$. Differentiate the equation (1.4)

$$au''' + \frac{A}{p-1}\frac{u''z - u'}{z^2} + \frac{p-2}{p-1}\left(-\frac{z}{u'}\right)^{p-3} \frac{zu'' - u'}{u'^2} f(u) + \frac{1}{p-1}\left(-\frac{z}{u'}\right)^{p-2} f'u' = 0\,.$$

From here, $u(z) \in C^3(0,\epsilon)$. Letting $z \to 0$, and using that $\lim_{z\to 0} \frac{u''z-u'}{z^2} = \frac{1}{2}u'''(0)$, and $\lim_{z\to 0} \frac{zu''-u'}{u'^2} = \frac{u'''(0)}{2u''(0)^2}$, we conclude that $u'''(0) = 0$ (the existence of $u'''(0)$ is proved as before). It follows that $u(z) \in C^3[0,\epsilon)$. Higher regularity is proved by taking further derivatives of the equation. $\diamondsuit$

3 Representation of solutions using infinite series

We shall consider an auxiliary problem

$$(3.1)\ au''(z) + \frac{A}{(p-1)z}u'(z) + \frac{|z|^{p-2}}{\varphi'(u'(z))}f(u) = 0,\ \ u(0) = \alpha,\ u'(0) = 0\,.$$

Lemma 3.1 *Any solution of the problem (3.1) is an even function.*

Proof: Observe that the change of variables $z \to -z$ leaves (3.1) invariant. If solution $u(z)$ were not even, then $u(-z)$ would be another solution of (3.1). By Lemma 1.1, $u(z)$ and $u(-z)$ translate into two different solutions of the problem (1.1), contradicting the uniqueness part of Lemma 2.1. $\diamondsuit$

It follows from the last lemma that any series solution of (3.1) must be of the form $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{2n}$. The same must be true for the problem (1.4), since for $z > 0$ it agrees with (3.1). Numerically, we shall be computing the partial sums $\sum_{n=0}^{k} a_n z^{2n}$, which will provide us with the solution, up to the terms of order $O(z^{2k+2})$. Write the partial sum in the form

$$(3.2)\qquad u(z) = \bar{u}(z) + a_k z^{2k}\,,$$

where $\bar{u}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_n z^{2n}$. We regard $\bar{u}(z)$ as already computed, and the question is how to compute a_k. Using the constants defined in (1.3), we let

$$B_k = 2k(2k-1)a + \frac{2kA}{p-1}\,.$$

Theorem 3.1 *Assume that $\alpha > 0$, $f(u) \in C^{\infty}(R)$, and $f(\alpha) > 0$. The solution of the problem (1.4) in terms of a series of the form $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{2k}$ is obtained by taking $a_0 = \alpha$, then*

$$(3.3)\qquad a_1 = -\left[\frac{1}{(p-1)2^{p-2}B_1}f(\alpha)\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}} < 0\,,$$

and for $k \geq 2$, we have (the following limits exist)

$$(3.4)\qquad a_k = -\frac{1}{B_k C_k}\lim_{z\to 0}\frac{\frac{z^{p-2}}{\varphi'(\bar{u}'(z))}f(\bar{u}) + a\bar{u}''(z) + \frac{A}{(p-1)z}\bar{u}'(z)}{z^{2k-2}}\,,$$

where $\bar{u} = \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_n z^{2n}$ is the previously computed approximation, and

$$C_k = 1 + \frac{k(p-2)f(\alpha)}{(p-1)2^{p-2}B_k(-a_1)^{p-1}}\,.$$

Proof: Plugging $u = \alpha + a_1 z^2$ into the equation (1.4), gives

$$a_1 B_1 = -\frac{z^{p-2}}{(p-1)|2a_1 z|^{p-2}} f(\alpha + a_1 z^2)\,.$$

Letting $z \to 0$,

$$a_1 B_1 = -\frac{1}{(p-1)|2a_1|^{p-2}} f(\alpha)\,,$$

which implies that $a_1 < 0$, leading to (3.3). Of course, $u = \alpha + a_1 z^2$ is not a solution of (1.4). But the other terms of the solution $u(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{2k}$ produce a correction, which disappears in the limit. Indeed, plugging $u(z) = \alpha + a_1 z^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{2k}$ into (1.4), gives

$$a_1 B_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k B_k z^{2k-2}$$

$$= -\frac{z^{p-2}}{(p-1)|2a_1 z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} 2k a_k z^{2k-1}|^{p-2}} f(\alpha + a_1 z^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^{2k})\,,$$

and going to the limit, with $z \to 0$, gives the same value of a_1.

Plugging $u(z) = \bar{u}(z) + a_k z^{2k}$ into the equation (1.4), gives

$$-a_k B_k = \frac{\frac{z^{p-2}}{\varphi'(\bar{u}' + 2k a_k z^{2k-1})} f(\bar{u}(z) + a_k z^{2k}) + a\bar{u}''(z) + \frac{A}{(p-1)z}\,\bar{u}'(z)}{z^{2k-2}}\,. \tag{3.5}$$

We now expand the quotient in the first term in the numerator. In this expansion we do not need to show the terms that are of order $O(z^{2k-1})$ and higher, since $\lim_{z\to 0} \frac{O(z^{2k-1})}{z^{2k-2}} = 0$. For $z > 0$ and small, we have (observe that $u'(z) < 0$, and $u'(z) \sim 2a_1 z$, for z small)

$$(p-1)\frac{z^{p-2}}{\varphi'(\bar{u}' + 2k a_k z^{2k-1})} = \frac{z^{p-2}}{(-\bar{u}' - 2k a_k z^{2k-1})^{p-2}} =$$

$$\frac{z^{p-2}}{(-\bar{u}')^{p-2}\left(1 + 2k a_k \frac{z^{2k-1}}{\bar{u}'(z)}\right)^{p-2}} = \frac{z^{p-2}}{(-\bar{u}')^{p-2}}\left(1 - 2k a_k (p-2)\frac{z^{2k-1}}{\bar{u}'(z)}\right) + O(z^{2k-1})$$

$$= \frac{z^{p-2}}{(-\bar{u}')^{p-2}}\left(1 + 2k a_k (p-2)\frac{z^{2k-2}}{(-2a_1)}\right) + O(z^{2k-1})$$

$$= \frac{(p-1)z^{p-2}}{\varphi'(\bar{u}')} + 2k a_k (p-2)\frac{z^{2k-2}}{(-2a_1)(-2a_1)^{p-2}} + O(z^{2k-1})\,.$$

(Observe that $\dfrac{z}{-\bar{u}'} = \dfrac{1}{-2a_1} + o(z)$, and $\dfrac{z^{p-2}}{(-\bar{u}')^{p-2}} = \dfrac{1}{(-2a_1)^{p-2}} + o(z)$.) Also

$$f(\bar{u}(z) + a_k z^{2k}) = f(\bar{u}(z)) + O(z^{2k})\,.$$

Using these expressions in (3.5), and taking the limit, we get

$$a_k = -\frac{1}{B_k} \lim_{z\to 0} \frac{\frac{z^{p-2}}{\varphi'(\bar{u}'(z))} f(\bar{u}) + a\bar{u}''(z) + \frac{A}{(p-1)z}\bar{u}'(z)}{z^{2k-2}} - \frac{k(p-2)f(\alpha)}{(p-1)B_k 2^{p-2}(-a_1)^{p-1}}\, a_k\,.$$

(By Theorem 2.1, $u(z) \in C^\infty[0,\epsilon)$. Hence, the limit representing $a_k = \frac{u^{2k}(0)}{(2k)!}$ exists.) Solving this equation for a_k, we conclude (3.4). Plugging $u(z) = \bar{u}(z) + a_k z^{2k} + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{2n}$ into (1.4), produces the same formula for a_k. $\diamondsuit$

With a_k's computed as in this theorem, the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k r^{\frac{kp}{p-1}}$ gives the solution to the original problem (1.1). In case $p = 2$, we proved in [4] that when $f(u)$ is real analytic, the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{2k}$ converges for small z, giving us a real analytic solution. It is natural to expect convergence for $p \neq 2$ too, so that the solution of (1.1) is a real analytic function of $r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$.

4 Numerical computations

It is easy to implement our formulas for computing the solution in *Mathematica*. It is crucial that *Mathematica* can perform exact computations for fractions. If one tries floating point computations, the limits in (3.4) become infinite. All numbers must be entered as fractions. For example, one cannot enter $p = 4.1$, it should be $p = \frac{41}{10}$ instead. (*Mathematica* switches to floating point computations, once it sees a number entered as a floating point.)

Example We solved

$$\varphi(u')' + \frac{n-1}{r}\,\varphi(u') + e^u = 0,\ \ u(0) = 1,\ u'(0) = 0\,, \tag{4.1}$$

with $\varphi(v) = v|v|^{p-2}$, and $p = \frac{41}{10}$. *Mathematica* calculated that the corresponding equation (1.4) is

$$a(p-1)u''(z) + \frac{A}{z}u'(z) + \frac{z^{p-2}}{(-u'(z))^{p-2}} e^{u(z)} = 0,\ \ u(0) = 1,\ u'(0) = 0\,, \tag{4.2}$$

with $a(p-1) = \frac{2825761\sqrt[10]{\frac{41}{62}}}{4766560}$, $A = \frac{1309499\sqrt[10]{\frac{41}{62}}}{4766560}$. When we computed the series solution of (4.2) up to a_5, *Mathematica* returned (instantaneously)

$$u(z) = 1 - \frac{31}{41}\left(\frac{e}{3}\right)^{10/31} z^2 + \frac{4805\,3^{11/31}\,e^{20/31}}{225254}\,z^4 - \frac{326241241\,\left(\frac{e}{3}\right)^{30/31}}{43314091660}z^6$$

$$+\frac{51312765230579\,e^{40/31}}{154203017487865920\,3^{9/31}}\,z^8 - \frac{13334484822273130589\,e^{50/31}}{283500239799651287332500\,3^{19/31}}\,z^{10}\,.$$

The same solution using floating point numbers is

$$u(z) = 1 - 0.732424z^2 + 0.0600499z^4 - 0.00684643z^6$$

$$+0.000879009z^8 - 0.000120356z^{10}\,.$$

For the original equation (4.1), this implies (we have $\frac{p}{p-1} = \frac{41}{31}$, and $z^2 = r^{\frac{41}{31}}$)

$$u(r) = 1 - 0.732424r^{\frac{41}{31}} + 0.0600499r^{\frac{82}{31}} - 0.00684643r^{\frac{123}{31}}$$

$$+0.000879009r^{\frac{164}{31}} - 0.000120356r^{\frac{205}{31}} + \cdots\,.$$

To check the accuracy of this computation, we denoted by $q(z)$ the left hand side of (4.2) (with $u(z)$ being the above polynomial of tenth degree), and asked *Mathematica* to expand $q(z)$ into series about $z = 0$. *Mathematica* returned: $q(z) = O(z^{\frac{121}{10}})$. We have performed similar computations, with similar results, for other values of $u(0) = \alpha$. For larger values of α, e.g., for $\alpha = 2$, the computations take longer, but no more than several minutes.

We have obtained similar results for all other $f(u)$ and p that we tried (including the case $1 < p < 2$). We wish to stress that in all computations, when the solution of (1.4) was computed up to the order z^{2n}, the defect function $q(z)$ was at least of order $O(z^{2n+2})$ near $z = 0$. This heuristic result is consistent with the Theorem 3.1, but does not seem to follow from it.

References

[1] P. Drabek, The p-Laplacian - mascot of nonlinear analysis, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. (N.S.)* **76**, no. 1, 85-98 (2007).

[2] B. Franchi, E. Lanconelli and J. Serrin, Existence and uniqueness of nonnegative solutions of quasilinear equations in R^n, *Adv. Math.* **118**, no. 2, 177-243 (1996).

[3] J.A. Iaia, Localized solutions of elliptic equations: loitering at the hilltop, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, No. 12, 15 pp. (electronic) (2006).

[4] P. Korman, Computation of radial solutions of semilinear equations, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, No. 13, 14 pp. (electronic) (2007).

[5] P. Korman, Global Solution Curves for Semilinear Elliptic Equations, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ (2012).

[6] L.A. Peletier and J. Serrin, Uniqueness of positive solutions of semilinear equations in R^n, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **81** no. 2, 181-197 (1983).